

California County Superintendents Educational Services Association

1121 L Street, Suite 510, Sacramento, CA 95814 P 916.446.3095 F 916.448.7801 www.ccsesa.org

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

President

Mr. Tom Changnon Stanislaus County President-Elect

Dr. Stacey Adler
Mono County

Past President

Mr. Stan Mantooth Ventura County

TreasurerDr. Steven Herrington
Sonoma County

State & Federal Legislative

Committee Chair

Ms. Holly Hermansen
Nevada County
Executive Director

Mr. Peter Birdsall

REGION CHAIRS

Region 1 Chair Mr. Jeff Harris Del Norte County

Region 2 Chair

Ms. Bettina Blackwell Trinity County

Region 3 Chair

Dr. Ed Manansala

El Dorado County Region 4 Chair

Ms. Anne Campbell

San Mateo County
Region 5 Chair

Mr. Jon Gundry

Santa Clara County
Region 6 Chair

Ms. Kathy Northington

Calaveras County

Region 7 Chair

Dr. Steve Tietjen Merced County

Region 8 Chair

Dr. Mary Barlow

Kern County

Region 9 Chair

Dr. Todd Finnell

Imperial County

Region 10 Chair Mr. Ted Alejandre

San Bernardino County
Region 11 Chair

Dr. Debra Duardo
Los Angeles County

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Business Partnerships

Mr. Dave Gordon

Sacramento County
Business and

Administration (BASC)

Ms. Denise Porterfield San Mateo County

Curriculum and

Instruction (CISC)

Ms. Charlene Stringham Tulare County

Personnel Administrative Services (PASSCo)

Ms. Toni Smith

Kern County

Student Programs and Services (SPSSC)

Dr. Faris Sabbah

Santa Cruz County

Technology and Telecommunications (TTSC)

Ms. Sally Savona Stanislaus County February 23, 2017

The Honorable Anthony Portantino Chair, Senate Budget Subcommittee No. 1 on Education State Capitol, Room 3086 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Senator Portantino:

On behalf of the California County Superintendents Educational Services Association (CCSESA), I am writing to urge the budget subcommittee to approve ongoing funding for the important work required of county offices of education to support, review and approve school district Local Control and Accountability Plans (LCAPs).

Recognizing the workload of county offices as they support school districts in the LCAP process, the Governor and Legislature appropriated \$40 million in one-time funding to county offices for the 2015-16 and 2016-17 fiscal years. This allocation of \$20 million per year was critical to the ability of county offices to establish teams of high-level staff who could work across fiscal, curriculum and human resource issues with the leadership of every school district in the state. However, this one-time funding is scheduled to end on June 30, just as the new school accountability system is beginning to be fully developed and implemented.

It is clear that the workload to support school districts is increasing dramatically beginning this year, as the new school accountability system is implemented in the form of the revised LCAP template, the evaluation rubrics adopted by the State Board of Education, and the recently launched school accountability dashboard. This system relies on extensive data collected at the state and local levels and reported across various priorities and student groups. Most importantly, the information generated by the data must be connected to the priorities of each school district as reflected in their LCAP, following review and discussion with their parents, staff and community members.

CCSESA's specific proposal is that the \$20 million in one-time funding be converted to ongoing funding and allocated in a manner that will increase support for the lowest-funded county offices. Although progress was made with the enactment of the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) in 2013, there remain significant disparities in funding for county offices of education. For this reason, we believe the most appropriate action would be to increase the "target" level of funding for county offices established under LCFF by \$50,000 per school district and \$3 per ADA in the county.

Our estimate is that these adjustments would reflect a significant commitment to the emerging school accountability system, but would increase state funding to county offices by only \$16.8 million—somewhat less than the \$20 million in one-time funding that was allocated for each of the current and past fiscal years. This approach would have the effect of allocating the new state funding to the lowest-funded county offices, while those at higher levels of funding (commonly referred to as "hold harmless" counties) would effectively be expected to utilize a portion of their existing funding to cover the costs of supporting, reviewing and approving school district LCAPs.



California County Superintendents Educational Services Association

1121 L Street, Suite 510, Sacramento, CA 95814 P 916.446.3095 F 916.448.7801 www.ccsesa.org

Unless these adjustments are made to county office funding, the lowest-funded county offices will simply not have the resources they need to provide the support that the state expects of them in the LCAP and school accountability process. In fact, unless action is taken in the 2017-18 state budget, their support will be reduced from the level provided with the one-time funding, even though the workload is increasing dramatically. Although the more highly-funded "hold harmless" county offices do have the resources to support this workload, current law creates a strong disincentive to adding on-going staff and support structures because it effectively requires them to dismantle those activities in future years to fund all future cost increases. [Under current law, such a county will remain funded at the "hold harmless" level until the "target" reaches that "hold harmless" level through cost-of-living adjustments. This means that anything funded with the additional hold harmless funds will eventually have to be eliminated to pay for cost-of-living adjustments.]

We believe our proposal addresses two critical issues: (1) it provides the meaningful level of support needed for county offices of education to perform the critical support role intended for them in the emerging school accountability system, and; (2) it provides this support in a manner that provides the greatest benefit to the lowest-funded county offices and is less costly in terms of new state funding than the \$20 million per year in one-time funding that was previously appropriated.

Please contact me if I can provide any additional information regarding our recommendation on this important matter.

Sincerely,

Peter Birdsall, Executive Director

cc: The Honorable Hannah-Beth Jackson The Honorable John Moorlach