







March 16, 2021

The Honorable Patrick O'Donnell, Chair Assembly Education Committee Legislative Office Building 1020 N Street, Room 159 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: AB 388 (Medina): Certificated school employees: probationary employees. Position: Oppose Hearing Date: Assembly Education Committee – March 24, 2021

Dear Assembly Member O'Donnell,

On behalf of the local educational agencies and statewide education organizations represented on this letter, we are writing to respectfully express our opposition to Assembly Bill 388 (Medina), which expands the classification of permanent status employees. Specifically, this bill would make changes regarding which credentialed employees can attain permanent employee status and deletes sections that differentiate employment practices for school districts with less than 250 average daily attendance (ADA).

## Existing Law

Current law regarding school districts with an ADA of 250 or less was negotiated in 1983 as part of the Hughes-Hart Educational Reform Act of 1983. This flexibility has been allowed to address the changing needs of a student population that creates unique staffing challenges. We are concerned this bill would reduce the flexibility of small districts and county offices of education to address the changing needs of their student population, where small school populations create unique staffing challenges.

## Industry Sector Programs

Designated service credentials are assigned by industry sector – within these sectors are 58 pathways. For example, if instructors who work in Adult Education as well as Career and Technical Education programs become permanent, then layoffs are determined by seniority that could result in an instructor being placed in a position very different from their current expertise simply because they hold the same credential as an individual with less seniority. This bill would also limit a district's ability to provide the career technical education classes that would be in the most demand for today's workforce needs.

## Impact of Process

Lastly, expanding the rights of permanent teachers to all certificated employees will be cost prohibitive as school districts would need to put a dismissal process in place, mirrored for permanent teachers. We have concerns about the public policy impacts of beginning a process of granting tenure rights to additional positions, particularly in non-teaching positions.

For the reasons outlined above, we must respectfully oppose AB 388. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Elizabeth Esquivel at eesequivel@casbo.org.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Esquivel Senior Director of Policy and Governance California Association of School Business Officials

seffrey a Vara

Jeffrey A. Vaca Chief Governmental Relations Office Riverside County Superintendent of Schools

aura Prestor

Laura Preston Legislative Advocate Association of California School Administrators

Telani Aquina do

Leilani Aguinaldo Legislative Advocate Career Technical Education Joint Powers Authority Coalition

Michael Holsin

Michael Hulsizer Chief Deputy for Governmental Affairs Kern County Superintendent of Schools

andrea Ball

Andrea Ball Legislative Advocate Central Valley Education Coalition Orange County Department of Education

Jeff Frod

Jeffrey Frost Legislative Advocate California Association of Suburban School Districts

Timosty J. Saylor

Tim Taylor Executive Director Small School Districts' Association

Mary Whited

Mary Whited President CAROCP-The Association of Careers and College Readiness Organizations

(r Ja

Caitlin Jung Legislative Advocate San Bernardino County District Advocates for Better Schools

Deichhul

Derick Lennox Senior Director, Governmental Relations and Legal Affairs California County Superintendents Educational Services

Chin Rufe

Chris Reefe Legislative Director California School Board Association